Case: 4:17-cr-00526-RLW-DDN Doc. #: 39 Filed: 11/20/17 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 88

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America	)		
v.	)		
KURT WALLACE (3)	)	Case No.	4:17CR526RLW(DDN)
Defendant			

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person		
and the community because the following conditions have been met:		
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):		
$\square$ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.		
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
$\square$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or		
$\Box$ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the		
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or		
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs		
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal		
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or		
☐ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:		
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and		
$\square$ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.		
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>		
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>		
$\Box$ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the		
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.		
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.		

<b>ID B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C.</b> § <b>3142(e)(3)</b> (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
$\square$ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
<b>②</b> (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
*
□ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ■ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ■ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ■ Prior criminal history ■ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ■ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  ■ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  ■ Prior criminal history  ■ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  ■ History of violence or use of weapons  ■ History of alcohol or substance abuse  ■ Lack of stable employment
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment  Lack of stable residence
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ■ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  ■ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  ■ Prior criminal history  ■ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  ■ History of violence or use of weapons  ■ History of alcohol or substance abuse  ■ Lack of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
<ul> <li>☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States</li> <li>☐ Lack of legal status in the United States</li> <li>☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration</li> <li>☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered</li> <li>☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement</li> <li>☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents</li> <li>☐ Background information unknown or unverified</li> <li>☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release</li> </ul>
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The facts contained in the Pretrial Services Report (Doc. 16) were not in dispute; as such, the facts in the Pretrial Services Report are incorporated into this order, and form a part of the factual basis for detention. Those facts demonstrate that, at the time of the instant offense, defendant was on parole for Assault, Armed Criminal Criminal Action, and Escape or Attempted Escape while under arrest for a felony. In addition, the defendant did not contest the facts contained in the Complaint Affidavit filed in Case No. 4:17MJ341 DDN (Doc. 2); as such, this detention order is also based on the facts contained in the Complaint Affidavit. Those facts reflect that defendant allegedly carjacked and shot a victim who was lured to the apartment of Walleav's girlfriend/co-defendant. The victim identified defendant in a photo lineup as the assailant. The undersigned also considered the indictment returned by a federal grand jury in the instant case while the United States' initial motion for pretrial detention was pending. Defendant is charged in the indictment with 5 counts of carjacking (Counts 1, 3, 5, 7 & 9, 4 counts of discharging and brandishing a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence (Counts 2, 4, 6 & 8) and 1 count of possession/brandishing/discharging a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence resulting in death (Count 10). The indictment reflects that the alleged conduct occurred within weeks of Wallace's release on parole and reflects charges for which Wallace could face the death penalty. At the detention hearing, Defendant Wallace requested, and was granted leave to supplement the record on or before November 20, 2017. However, on November 17, 2017, Wallace filed a notice electing not to supplement the Detention Hearing record.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in

/s/Shirley P. Mensah

United States Magistrate Judge

connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

11/20/2017

Case: 4:17-cr-00526-RLW-DDN Doc. #: 39 Filed: 11/20/17 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 90

Page 3 of 3